



<b>Subject:</b>	Review of Naming Policy
<b>Date:</b>	8 August 2017
<b>Reporting Officer:</b>	Nigel Grimshaw, Director City & Neighbourhood Services Department
<b>Contact Officer:</b>	Rose Crozier, Assistant Director City & Neighbourhood Services Department

<b>Restricted Reports</b>	
Is this report restricted?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If Yes, when will the report become unrestricted?	
After Committee Decision	<input type="checkbox"/>
After Council Decision	<input type="checkbox"/>
Some time in the future	<input type="checkbox"/>
Never	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>Call-in</b>	
Is the decision eligible for Call-in?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Purpose of Report or Summary of main Issues</b>
1.1	The purpose of this report is to update Members on the implementation of the naming policy that was introduced by the former Parks and Leisure Department and to seek Members' views on the options for going forward.
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>
2.1	The Committee is asked to; <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>consider the report and agree to proceed with option 3 rather than current policy and to extend any revised policy to include all council facilities.</li></ul>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Main report</b>
	<u>Key Issues</u>
3.1	Members are reminded that the former Parks and Leisure Committee agreed a Policy Framework for (Re)naming Parks and Leisure Facilities in August 2008. A copy of that

	<p>Committee report is attached as appendix 1. The agreed policy applied to all Council owned parks and leisure facilities. Since the introduction of the policy we have had several requests to rename parks and open spaces and the criteria has also been used to assess requests to name structures within several parks.</p>
3.2	<p>Due to the following reasons it has become apparent that the Council needs to look at the options for the implementation of this policy going forward. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The merging of the former Parks and Leisure Department with other services to form City and Neighbourhood Services.</li> <li>• The acquisition of facilities from other Councils under RPA.</li> <li>• A growth in the number of new assets being developed by the Council, including new leisure facilities.</li> <li>• An increase in requests for communities to name/rename facilities including structures within parks and open spaces.</li> <li>• The development of the Council's Linguistic Diversity Policy.</li> </ul>
3.3	<p>Going forward the following options are open to the Council:</p> <p>Option 1 – we continue as is and the existing policy applies only to Council owned Parks and Leisure Facilities.</p> <p>Option 2 – we extend the current policy to include all Council facilities.</p> <p>Option 3 – we review the current policy and extend any revised policy to include all Council facilities.</p> <p>Members are advised that we would need to undertake public consultation if options 2 or 3 are chosen. Given the reasons outlined at 3.2 Members are asked to support option 3.</p>
3.4	<p><u>Financial &amp; Resource Implications</u></p> <p>There are no financial or resource implications associated with this report. Depending on which option Members chose there may be costs associated with undertaking public consultation, these will be met through existing budgets.</p>
3.5	<p><u>Equality or Good Relations Implications</u></p> <p>If Members agree that the current policy should be reviewed it will be equality screened in line with the Council's policy and process.</p>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Appendices – Documents Attached</b>
	Appendix 1 – Parks and Leisure Committee report August 2008